

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,365	09/22/2003	Nirupama Karunaratne	056365-5048	4895	
	7590 09/21/200 WIS & BOCKIUS LLF	•	EXAMINER		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA	LVANIA AVENUE N	I	FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•	·	·	1762		
				·	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/665,365	KARUNARATNE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		William P. Fletcher III	1762		
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addr	ess	
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 DIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN THE SECOND IN TH	FION. be timely filed from the mailting date of this common (1950).		
Status					
2a)⊠ 3)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters		nerits is	
Dispositio	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 13-15,20,23 and 25-28 is/are pending la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 13-15 20 23 25-28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine is/are and access	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment	(s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. The compliant amendment filed June 25, 2006, and the remarks filed April 3, 2007, are noted with appreciation.
- 2. Claims 13-15, 20, 23, and 25-28, remain pending.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

3. The terminal disclaimer filed on April 3, 2007, disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 11/417,162 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. The objections set forth in the prior Office action are withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 5. The obviousness-type double patenting rejections, set forth in the prior Office action, are withdrawn in view of the terminal disclaimer.
- 6. The amendment has incorporated the features of previously pending dependent claim 24 into independent claim 13. Since previously pending claim 24 had been rejected under 35 USC 103(a), the rejections under 35 USC 102(b) set forth in the prior Office action are withdrawn. New rejections under 35 USC 103(a), necessitated by the amendment, are set forth herein below.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that differences in concentration will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence

indicating that such concentration is critical; it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. MPEP 2144.05(II)(A). In the instant case, the relative concentrations of binder and pigment in a coating composition (paint) are result-effective variables effecting the properties of the resultant coating (paint) such as viscosity, curing/cross-linking rate, color, effect, and hiding power. As such, it would have been obvious to optimize such result-effective variables by routine experimentation absent evidence of unexpected results demonstrating the criticality of the claimed concentrations. As no such evidence has been presented, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 13, 14, 20, 23, and 25-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as 10. being unpatentable over Miyatake et al. (US 2002/0043464 A1).

A. Miyatake is applied herein as set forth in the prior Office action.

B. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize

the relative concentrations by routine experimentation as explained above.

11. Claims 13-15, 20, 23, and 25-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Fowler et al. (US 4,978,708 A).

A. Folwer is applied herein as set forth in the prior Office action.

B. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize

the relative concentrations by routine experimentation as explained above.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. The prompt development of clear issues in the prosecution history requires that applicant's reply to this Office action be fully responsive (MPEP § 714.02). When filing an amendment, applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including new or amended claims (MPEP §§ 714.02 & 2163). A fully responsive reply to this Office action, if it includes new or amended claims, must therefore include an explicit citation (i.e., page number and line number) of that/those portion(s) of the original disclosure which applicant contends support(s) the new or amended limitation(s).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 0900h-1700h.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,365

Art Unit: 1762

Page 6

Status information for

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/

Primary Examiner

September 16, 2007